

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

- - - - - X

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	:	20-cr-228 (LDH)
	:	
	:	
-against-	:	United States Courthouse
	:	Brooklyn, New York
	:	
	:	February 12, 2025
EMERSON MARTINEZ-LARA,	:	11:00 a.m.
	:	
Defendant.	:	

- - - - - X

TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMINAL CAUSE FOR SENTENCING
BEFORE THE HONORABLE LaSHANN DeARCY HALL
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

A P P E A R A N C E S:

For the Government: JOHN J. DURHAM
United States Attorney
Eastern District of New York
271 Cadman Plaza East
Brooklyn, New York 11201
BY: JONATHAN SIEGEL
ANNA KARAMIGIOS
Assistant United States Attorneys

For the Defendant: DIAZ & MOSKOWITZ, PLLC.
225 Broadway, Suite 715
New York, New York 10007
BY: JOHN DIAZ, ESQ.

GREEN & WILLSTATTER
200 Mamaroneck Avenue
White Plains, New York 10601
BY: RICHARD D. WILLSTATTER, ESQ.

SAHLI LAW, PLLC
195 Broadway, 4th Floor
Brooklyn, New York 11211
BY: EMILEE ANN SAHLI, ESQ.

Proceedings

2

1 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise.

2 Good morning. This is a criminal cause for a
3 sentencing in the matter of USA versus Emerson Martinez-Lara,
4 docket No. 20-cr-228.

5 The Spanish interpreter has been previously sworn.
6 Counsel, state your name for the record, starting
7 with the Government.

8 MR. SIEGEL: Good morning, Your Honor.

9 Jonathan Siegel, Anna Karamigios, and Paralegal
10 Specialist Eleanor Jaffe-Pachuiilo for the United States.

11 THE PROBATION OFFICER: And Alexandria Lohwasser for
12 Probation.

13 MR. DIAZ: Good morning, Your Honor.

14 John Diaz appearing for the defendant, Emerson
15 Martinez-Lara. And also with me is Richard Willstatter,
16 Emilee Sahli, and Kimberly Tavares.

17 THE COURT: Good to see you all. You all may be
18 seated.

19 Folks, so we are here today for a sentencing
20 determination on the indictment against the defendant, Mr.
21 Martinez-Lara.

22 Present today are counsel for both the Government,
23 as well as the defendant. The defendant is present, a
24 representative from the Probation Department, all of whom
25 their presence has been noted for the record.

Proceedings

3

1 Now, on April 23rd of 2024, Mr. Martinez-Lara
2 pleaded guilty to Count 21 of the indictment, which was filed
3 on June 15, 2023.

4 Now, Count 21 charges -- give me a second. There
5 was a superseding indictment. Is there a number -- is it just
6 the superseding?

7 MR. SIEGEL: That was the second superseding.

8 THE COURT: Second superseding, okay.

9 Now, Count 21 charges that on February 3, 2019, the
10 defendant, together with others, in the course of a violation
11 of 18 U.S.C. Section 924(c), specifically, the crime charged
12 in Count 20, did knowingly and intentionally cause the death
13 of a person through the use of a firearm, which killing was
14 murder, in that the defendants, together with others, with
15 malice aforethought, did unlawfully kill Abel Mosso willfully,
16 deliberately, maliciously and with premeditation in violation
17 of 18 U.S.C. Section 924(j)(1).

18 Now, this Court found that Mr. Martinez-Lara had
19 made his plea knowingly and voluntarily and that there was a
20 factual basis for Mr. Martinez-Lara's plea. Accordingly, this
21 Court accepted Mr. Martinez-Lara's plea of guilty on June 10th
22 of 2024.

23 Now, in advance of this proceeding, I received and I
24 reviewed a June 15, 2023 superseding indictment filed as ECF
25 docket No. 243, an October 17, 2024 presentence investigation

Proceedings

4

1 report, and presentencing recommendation filed as ECF docket
2 No. 603, a February 6, 2025 addendum to the PSR, which was
3 filed as ECF docket No. 762, a December 13, 2024 sentencing
4 memorandum by the Government filed as ECF docket No. 707, a
5 July 13, 2025 supplemental to the Government's submission
6 filed as ECF docket No. 728.

7 I have the defendant's January 13, 2025 sentencing
8 memorandum filed as ECF docket No. 729, a January 27, 2025
9 supplement to the defendant's sentencing memorandum filed as
10 ECF docket No. 749. In addition, I have a supplemental letter
11 of support filed by the defendant, dated January 23, 2025 and
12 filed as ECF docket No. 743.

13 Is there anything else that I should have in front
14 of me, folks?

15 MR. DIAZ: Not from the defendant.

16 MR. SIEGEL: Not from the Government.

17 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Siegel, do you have any
18 witnesses present in the courtroom today?

19 MR. SIEGEL: No, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: All right.

21 Do you believe that I will need to hold any sort of
22 evidentiary hearing to resolve any disputed issue of fact?

23 MR. SIEGEL: No, Your Honor.

24 THE COURT: Will there be any individuals making a
25 statement today, victim impact statement of any sort?

Proceedings

5

1 MR. SIEGEL: Your Honor, we have been in touch with
2 the mother of Abel Mosso. She was notified of today's
3 proceeding and chose not to come. I have a letter from her
4 that I was planning to read. I have provided a copy to
5 defense counsel. I provided it at some point to Probation,
6 but it didn't end up in the PSR addendum.

7 I could hand it up when I am done reading it if you
8 would like it handed up.

9 THE COURT: Yes, I would, when you are done reading
10 it, like to have it handed up.

11 All right, Mr. Diaz, have you and Mr. Martinez-Lara
12 discussed and read the presentence report?

13 MR. DIAZ: Yes, Your Honor.

14 THE COURT: And you have discussed whether you have
15 any objections thereto?

16 MR. DIAZ: Yes, Judge.

17 THE COURT: Now, with respect to objections thereto,
18 I know, at least in your submission, that you had made an
19 argument that he was not a, quote, full member of the gang
20 MS-13. I'm not certain what legal -- and I think this is a
21 point that the Government made -- consequence there is whether
22 or not you include the word "full" or not. He was an
23 associate of the gang and the Court will treat him
24 accordingly.

25 And, then, of course, there is an argument that you

Proceedings

6

1 made in your submission, I believe it is at page 11,
2 concerning whether the Court should consider Mr. Martinez-Lara
3 either a minor or a minimal participant, and I will get to
4 that just before I do my own calculation of the guidelines
5 range. But I think other than that that's --

6 MR. DIAZ: That's correct, Judge.

7 THE COURT: Okay. And I don't believe that you are
8 asking, though, either in connection with that objection or
9 otherwise, for an evidentiary hearing to resolve a disputed
10 issue of fact. Am I correct?

11 MR. DIAZ: That's correct, Your Honor.

12 THE COURT: All right. And beyond Mr.
13 Martinez-Lara, who I am assuming will be addressing the Court
14 today, do you have anyone who you wish to address the Court
15 today?

16 MR. DIAZ: No, Your Honor, but I would like to just
17 note the presence of my client's uncle and his aunt who are
18 present in court.

19 THE COURT: Good morning, sir and ma'am.

20 All right, folks, I want to talk now about the
21 maximum sentence available.

22 Now, with respect to Count 21, the maximum term of
23 imprisonment is life.

24 Now, the Court may impose a term of supervised
25 release of not more than five years. The defendant is

Proceedings

7

1 ineligible for probation because it is expressly precluded by
2 statute.

3 And the maximum fine for Count 21 is \$250,000. And
4 the Court must order a special assessment in the amount of
5 \$100.

6 Is restitution being sought here?

7 MR. SIEGEL: No, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: Okay. Now, folks, the PSR calculates an
9 effective guidelines range of 235 months to 293 months
10 imprisonment. And that is based on a total offense level of
11 38 and a Criminal History Category of I.

12 As the parties are aware, that calculation is
13 arrived at in part by the inclusion of a minor participant
14 role in the offense. In his submission, the defendant argues
15 that the Court should instead apply a minimal participant role
16 in the offense, which would allow for an additional two-level
17 deduction.

18 I will hear from you, Mr. Diaz, on this point.

19 MR. DIAZ: Thank you, Your Honor.

20 And as we laid out in our submission, Judge, we
21 don't think this is something that involves a large universe
22 of facts. I think the facts here are very simple, Judge, and
23 our argument is very simple.

24 Firstly, Mr. Martinez-Lara did not participate in
25 the planning or organization of this murder. Mr.

Proceedings

8

1 Martinez-Lara was instructed where to be to act as a lookout.

2 We also ask the Court to consider that Mr.
3 Martinez-Lara had no decisionmaking authority with respect to
4 the planning of this murder. And also, Judge, he had no
5 pecuniary gain in this crime, Judge.

6 THE COURT: The truth is, though, with MS-13, at
7 least as I have been educated, often pecuniary gain is a
8 non-issue. So, it seems to me, given the nature of this
9 crime, given the organization for which he was involved in,
10 that factor becomes a non-factor for me.

11 This is what I would like to do, because I wanted to
12 look closely at the facts of this case, and I agree with you,
13 the universe of facts that are at issue on this point are
14 narrow. But in looking to the Sentencing Guidelines, and in
15 particular, the application notes, which should drive the
16 Court's consideration here, there is a fact-based
17 determination that is set out at Application Note 3C. But
18 that fact-based determination includes factors that the Court
19 is to look at, am I correct, in assessing both whether someone
20 is either a minor participant or a minimal participant?

21 So, it is not exclusive only to a minimal
22 participant designation. And, so, the satisfaction of those
23 factors in C, as it seems to me, does not end the Court's
24 inquiry, because the satisfaction of the factors that are set
25 forth in Application Note 3C could simply go to satisfying the

Proceedings

9

1 minor participant.

2 Is it not the case that in order for the Court to
3 arrive at the conclusion that the minimal participant role is
4 applicable here that I also need to find that under the
5 provision that the defendant lacked the knowledge or
6 understanding of the scope and the structure of the enterprise
7 and the activities of others, which would be indicative of his
8 minimal participation, isn't that required?

9 And I don't think that the recitation of the factors
10 that apply here gets me to that point. So that's what I think
11 you need to focus on.

12 MR. DIAZ: Yes, Judge. And the Court does have wide
13 discretion here, Judge, and I think even under application of
14 3C, it notes that we're evaluating the conduct within the act
15 itself, not, you know, other cases or what other members were
16 doing.

17 THE COURT: But he understood that he was serving as
18 a lookout not for, say, a robbery. Not that I am suggesting a
19 robbery is okay. Not for, say, a car theft.

20 He understood that he was serving as a lookout for
21 the purposes of killing a rival on a New York City subway
22 platform.

23 MR. DIAZ: Yes, Your Honor. I would add to that and
24 ask the Court to add in its analysis is what we also discussed
25 regarding his role there, Judge, that he was -- and, again,

Proceedings

10

1 Judge -- just to back up a little bit, Your Honor. And I know
2 that the Court knows a lot about MS-13, low-level individuals,
3 Judge, get routinely instructed to do things. He was
4 instructed, you know, to be at a certain location. And
5 additionally to that, Judge, as we laid out in our memo, he
6 had been under threat. He had been intimidated by the gang.
7 So, I think in the totality of circumstances, Judge, which is
8 what we're supposed to be looking at here, what the Court said
9 is absolutely correct, Your Honor. When looking at it through
10 that perspective, kind of like a straw, yes, that is the
11 universe. But what I'm asking the Court is to go a little bit
12 outside of that, Judge.

13 THE COURT: Isn't that more appropriate for the
14 purposes of the Court's consideration of a variance as opposed
15 to the application of a minimal participant role?

16 Because the way in which I approach sentencing is
17 that when it comes to the calculation of the guidelines range,
18 perhaps I'm conservative compared to other judges, but I
19 believe that I need to -- when it comes to the calculation of
20 the guidelines, I really need to hew closely to the language
21 of what is kind of our statute here, which is the guidelines.

22 A variance, if you want me to consider those
23 factors, it seems that is where I would consider it given the
24 fact that there was at least no misunderstanding, as I can
25 see, as to the nature of this particular crime. And, indeed,

Proceedings

11

1 as the PSR indicates, on two other occasions, however the
2 circumstances are that he may have ended up there, but on two
3 other occasions, he also engaged in looking for rivals with a
4 gang member. So this notion that we can satisfy the question
5 of lack of knowledge or understanding about the activities is
6 hard for me to get to.

7 MR. DIAZ: And I understand the Court's concern,
8 Your Honor. And my response is that without analyzing that
9 additional piece, I don't think we have a complete picture,
10 Your Honor, because, yes, those two other instances that the
11 Court referenced. But, again, this is part of that pattern,
12 Judge, where he was being instructed to do things. He was
13 being threatened. And I think that that's important when
14 analyzing his level of knowledge in the overall planning that
15 was going on, is he's merely showing up if he's following the
16 orders.

17 THE COURT: He knew that they were going to kill a
18 rival.

19 MR. DIAZ: That is true, Judge, but, again, the
20 details of how that was going to happen and --

21 THE COURT: Exactly, and hence he was afforded the
22 minor participant role.

23 MR. DIAZ: He was, Judge. And what I'm saying is
24 that it goes a step further. Let me give you an example.
25 There is a case that is coming up before Your Honor on

Proceedings

12

1 February 28th. I read all these cases, trying to read and
2 parcel out everyone's involvement. And I have the case here.
3 I will tell you what it is, Judge, in a minute. But in that
4 case, in the Government's support of a 22-year sentence, it
5 described how this young person, over the course of several
6 months, groomed the victim, lured him to a certain location.
7 After the murder, went on the victim's phone, deleted text
8 messages. Again, we're not comparing our case with other
9 cases. We're comparing minimal role within the context of our
10 facts.

11 But in the context of how this gang operates, Your
12 Honor, the level of planning that goes into them actually
13 orchestrating these murders, the use of social media, the use
14 of texts, all these things, and when compared by the actus
15 reus that was performed by Mr. Martinez-Lara, which is
16 significantly less, Judge, right, he has no decisionmaking as
17 to where this is going to happen, who the victim is going to
18 be, not even where he's going to be located. And I think that
19 in the application note it says this is intended to cover
20 defendants who are plainly the least culpable of those
21 involved in the conduct of a group.

22 THE COURT: Yes.

23 MR. DIAZ: I'm reading minimal participant
24 Application Note 4.

25 And I think that when analyzed altogether, Judge,

Proceedings

13

1 with what we also talked about the context of what was going
2 on in his life, the threats, and I think it is an
3 uncontroverted fact that the Government will not contest, that
4 Mr. Martinez-Lara did make efforts to leave the gang and did
5 leave the gang for a certain period of time, Judge.

6 THE COURT: How do I reconcile your argument? And I
7 hear what you're saying.

8 I'm trying to reconcile -- there are three sentences
9 that have minimal participant. You are highlighting the
10 second. I have highlighted the third.

11 Reconcile those two for me in a satisfactory way,
12 because I've got to, right. Because it can't simply be that
13 in every single case, if you have group activity, that I
14 simply identify the person who is the least culpable of that
15 activity and they are then, therefore, entitled to a minimal
16 participant role.

17 That third sentence, right, as far as I read it,
18 that third sentence provides the color of what that means, and
19 that seems to me what's missing here because, otherwise, we
20 would probably be giving out a minor participant role in every
21 single case where you have group activity because everyone is
22 not going to assume the exact same role in a case. And I
23 don't believe that this particular provision is intended to
24 apply in every single case where there is group activity. So
25 simply being the least culpable in and of itself isn't enough.

Proceedings

14

1 There is this knowledge prong. There is this understanding of
2 what it is that the activity is intended to do. And while he
3 may not have known the name of the victim, he assuredly
4 understood two things: They were setting out to kill him and
5 they were setting out to do so on a New York City subway
6 platform. That's the activity that he had complete knowledge
7 of.

8 You make arguments that the Court is interested in
9 hearing, but I don't know if this particular provision is the
10 vehicle through which those arguments will have great success.

11 MR. DIAZ: Understood, Your Honor.

12 THE COURT: Okay. All right. So, let's move
13 forward.

14 I'm going to calculate the guidelines range now.

15 The applicable guidelines for Count 21 is Sentencing
16 Guidelines Section 2A1.1, which provides for a base offense
17 level of 43, as the offense constitutes first-degree murder.

18 Because the investigation revealed that Mr.
19 Martinez-Lara acted solely as a lookout for the offense, a
20 minor role reduction is warranted, and that is pursuant to
21 Sentencing Guidelines Section 3B1.2 B.

22 Accordingly, the offense level is decreased by two
23 levels, which brings the adjusted offense level to 41.

24 Mr. Martinez-Lara has clearly demonstrated
25 acceptance of responsibility for the offense. Accordingly,

Proceedings

15

1 the offense level is decreased by two levels, which brings the
2 adjusted offense level to 39.

3 Now, I also understand the Government intends to
4 make a motion that it was notified in a timely manner of Mr.
5 Martinez-Lara's intention to plead guilty. Is that correct?

6 MR. SIEGEL: Yes, Your Honor. We so move.

7 THE COURT: So the Government's motion is granted.

8 Accordingly, pursuant to sentencing guideline
9 3E1.1(b), the offense level is decreased by one point, which
10 brings the total offense level to 38.

11 Of course I must take into account Mr.
12 Martinez-Lara's criminal history. As the Court, however,
13 calculates his criminal history as zero, according to the
14 Sentencing Table, Chapter 5, Part A, a criminal history score
15 of zero establishes a Criminal History Category of I.

16 Now, based upon a total offense level of 38 and a
17 Criminal History Category of I, the guidelines range for
18 imprisonment is 235 to 293 months.

19 Because Count 21 is a Class A felony, the guideline
20 range for a term of supervised release is two to five years.
21 And that's pursuant to sentencing guideline Section
22 5D1.2(a)(1).

23 The defendant is ineligible for probation because it
24 is expressly precluded by statute.

25 The fine range for this offense is from 50,000 to

Proceedings

16

1 \$250,000.

2 Any objections to the Court's calculation for the
3 record?

4 MR. SIEGEL: Not from the Government. Thank you.

5 MR. DIAZ: No, Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: Okay. Now, I have already addressed the
7 question of the minor participant, but I do believe that
8 defense counsel is also seeking a downward departure based on
9 the defendant's age.

10 I will hear from you, Mr. Diaz.

11 MR. DIAZ: Thank you, Your Honor.

12 Your Honor, as we state in our submission, Judge,
13 and the Court knows, Mr. Martinez-Lara entered into this
14 country at the age of 15, approximately 15 years old.
15 Regrettably, Emerson began associating with members of MS-13
16 shortly after coming to this country.

17 Now, with respect to the age, Your Honor, his
18 participation and association with these members I guess began
19 around that time, 15, 16 years old, when he began going to
20 school. He began going to soccer fields to play soccer and,
21 you know, in his culture, Judge, soccer really does play an
22 important part socially. And this is kind of where he went.
23 He entered as an unaccompanied minor. And this is where he
24 went and started meeting people.

25 His father had sent him to the United States to

1 escape gang violence.

2 And, again, Judge, at this point in his life, he is
3 newly arrived in this country. He doesn't have much of a
4 support structure. He had experienced trauma in his life:
5 Extreme poverty, natural disasters, and gang violence.

6 Now, when you compile all of these factors, Judge,
7 with his lack of maturity, his underdeveloped sense of
8 responsibility, I submit that Emerson was very vulnerable to
9 these outside pressures. And these particular factors have
10 been recognized by the Supreme Court in *Roper versus United*
11 *States*, where the Court held that not only is the
12 chronological age a relevant factor entitled to great weight,
13 but so is the background and mental and emotional development
14 of a youthful defendant.

15 Additionally, Your Honor, I think that the
16 overwhelming scientific research in this area strongly
17 suggests that youths from the late teens up until the mid-20s
18 do not possess fully mature brains and are more impulsive.
19 And as the parent of two teenagers, Judge, I can attest to the
20 truth of that statement. But applying it here, Judge, I think
21 that these factors speak to our situation, where we have a
22 young person who has these difficulties and adversus
23 conditions that he is already dealing with. And now you have
24 this pressure, peers, people from your own culture, from your
25 own country, who look like you, who you are looking to for

Proceedings

18

1 guidance. And these people prey on that, Judge. I don't
2 think that -- it goes without saying that MS-13 and gangs like
3 them prey on these young people. They seek out people who are
4 vulnerable because they're easy to control, Your Honor. And I
5 think that is the gist of the Commission's amendment under
6 5H1.1, where the Court is aware that the Sentencing Commission
7 has recently amended the policy statement that includes a
8 downward departure may be warranted due to a defendant's
9 youthfulness at the time of the offense or prior offenses.
10 Certain factors may affect a youthful individual's development
11 into the mid-20s and contribute to involvement in the criminal
12 justice system, including environment, adverse childhood
13 experiences, substance use, lack of educational opportunities,
14 and family relationships.

15 Now, in addition, Your Honor, these youthful
16 individuals are generally more impulsive. They are
17 risk-seeking and susceptible to outside influence.

18 And, more importantly, it is noted here that
19 youthful individuals are also more amenable to rehabilitation.
20 And I think that means a lot, Judge. And I think that
21 distinction weighs a lot, that there is a recognition that
22 when you're young person, you're going to change over time and
23 that that young person you were at 15 is not going to be the
24 same person that you are going to be at 35. And I think that
25 the Commission including this under 5H really reflects that,

Proceedings

19

1 Judge, and reflects the empirical research that has been done
2 over time.

3 And I think that this really speaks to Emerson's
4 case, Judge, and I think a downward variance under 5H1 is
5 warranted here.

6 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

7 Does the Government wish to respond?

8 MR. SIEGEL: Thank you, Your Honor.

9 I don't think there is any dispute that Mr.
10 Martinez-Lara was young. I don't think there is any dispute
11 that that's something that the Court can and, frankly, should
12 consider. It is, frankly, not really clear to me if it is
13 best considered as a variance or best considered as a
14 departure. I know there is now a departure provision for it.
15 I think it is important, wherever it goes, that it not be
16 double counted.

17 THE COURT: Well, it wouldn't be double counted. We
18 are talking about it now in the context of a departure.

19 MR. SIEGEL: I guess what I would say is to the
20 extent Your Honor finds a departure is warranted for youth,
21 that's fine. I think what's important is just the level of
22 it.

23 Just to state the obvious, this was not a purse
24 snatching or drug dealing or an impulsive fight. This was a
25 premeditated murder. 19-year-olds, as well as 18 -year-olds

Proceedings

20

1 and 10-year-olds know that murder is wrong. And I think a lot
2 of the arguments about youth go to impulsiveness. And this
3 was not impulsive. This was a planned, premeditated,
4 cold-blooded murder.

5 Does youth factor into that? I'm sure that it still
6 does. But I wouldn't treat the level of departure or variance
7 for youth in a planned,,premeditated murder the same way that
8 you might for other kinds of crimes that really are the kinds
9 of crimes that you think of as youth violence or the kinds of
10 things that people do and then just grow up and don't do
11 anymore.

12 And I also think, you know, obviously, 19 is young.
13 I know, unfortunately, that both in this case and in other
14 cases that the Court has there are cases involving 16 year
15 olds or 17 year olds or 18 year olds. It all exists on a
16 spectrum, which is to say that whatever departure and whatever
17 consideration is given for youth I think it has to be part of
18 the consideration because it is part of the reality here, but
19 I just don't think it's entitled to that much here given the
20 seriousness of the crime, given the circumstance of the crime,
21 given that this was -- even in the bigger picture that this
22 was part of a larger involvement in a gang that he was part of
23 for over a year, that, in light of all of that, whatever
24 weight is given, whether it is a departure or a variance, I
25 just don't think it should weigh heavily.

Proceedings

21

1 THE COURT: Thank you.

2 Folks, I don't believe that there are any other
3 arguments advanced in the written submissions for a departure,
4 so I'm now going to move towards a variance, or a discussion
5 of a variance.

6 Having calculated the guidelines and considered the
7 propriety of the departure, I must now consider the relevant
8 factors set out by Congress in 18 U.S.C. Section 3553(a) to
9 ensure that I impose a sentence that is sufficient, but not
10 greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes of
11 sentencing.

12 Now, these purposes include the need for the
13 sentence to reflect the seriousness of the crime, promote
14 respect for the law, and provide just punishment for the
15 offense. The sentence should also deter criminal conduct,
16 protect the public from future crime of the defendant, and
17 promote rehabilitation.

18 In addition to the guidelines and policy statements,
19 I must consider the nature and circumstances of the offense,
20 the history and characteristics of the defendant, the need to
21 avoid unwarranted sentencing disparities among
22 similarly-situated defendants, the types of sentences
23 available, and the need to provide restitution to any victims
24 of the offense.

25 Does the Government wish to make any arguments

Proceedings

22

1 concerning the application of the 3553(a) factors, request a
2 variance or otherwise make a sentencing recommendation?

3 I will hear from you now.

4 MR. SIEGEL: Thank you, Your Honor.

5 We believe that when you weigh all of the factors,
6 we submit that a variance is not appropriate and that a
7 sentence of 240 months is the right sentence.

8 Just to start with on the seriousness of the
9 offense, every murder is awful. Every life is a universe to
10 the family that has lost them and to that person. With that
11 said, this murder is certainly one of the most brazen and --
12 one of the more brazen and outrageous that I have certainly
13 seen.

14 We provided the video of the murder itself, which we
15 can also play as part of the hearing if that would be helpful
16 to the Court.

17 But in this case and in this job, often I see things
18 and I think well, that's one of the craziest things that I
19 have ever seen. And, certainly, this one is top three of just
20 unbelievable of what you're seeing, of a crowd of screaming
21 people and a group of people subduing a man and taking -- and
22 fighting over a gun, and then executing him, shooting him in
23 the head. That's the plan that they made that this defendant
24 was part of. He wasn't on the platform. It wasn't his turn
25 that day. But he was part of that plan and that was the plan.

Proceedings

23

1 Even after that murder happened and all of the
2 people who were on the platform were arrested within weeks, he
3 stayed a part of the gang for another year. And there is a
4 lot in the defendant's submission about him leaving the gang
5 and intimidation from the gang. And I just want to be clear
6 about the timeline there because I think there is some
7 looseness about the timeline.

8 He joins in 2018, late 2018. By February of 2019,
9 he is a participant in this murder.

10 THE COURT: I'm sorry. One more time. Give it to
11 me again.

12 MR. SIEGEL: He joins in late 2018. By February of
13 2019, he is participating in this murder.

14 Throughout 2019, after all of the participants who
15 were on the platform and were caught in the video, they were
16 all arrested, he continues on in the gang. There are two
17 additional murder conspiracies.

18 THE COURT: John Doe 1 and 2, or am I --

19 MR. SIEGEL: Just because -- and this is actually
20 because we have re-numbered the John Does, it has created some
21 confusion. But it is two John Does.

22 THE COURT: Okay.

23 MR. SIEGEL: It is two John Does, both of whom are
24 actually MS-13 members who are believed to have violated the
25 rules of the gang. He participates in those in 2019. He

Proceedings

24

1 continues participating in the gangs's drug sales. He is
2 involved in the gun possession of the gang.

3 They cite -- on page 7 of the defense memo, they
4 cite phone calls where members of the gang are complaining
5 that he is being less communicative. Those are from January
6 2020. As late as April of 2020, they are still jail calls
7 with him and members of the gang, people from this murder,
8 where, in the April 30th call, 2020, Victor Lopez, one of the
9 people who was on the platform that day, calls the defendant,
10 and one of the things they talk about is the defendant offers
11 to provide Lopez with a new phone number for Marlon
12 Saracay-Lopez, who was the leader of this clique of the gang.
13 So, even by that point, he is still in touch with them, in
14 touch with the leadership and making those connections.

15 He's arrested in May of 2020. So it's not that long
16 after that.

17 We don't dispute that over time he did pull away
18 from the gang.

19 THE COURT: But when are you asserting that that
20 took place, after his arrest in May of 2020, before?

21 MR. SIEGEL: The problem is I don't think there is a
22 clean break for him before the arrest.

23 THE COURT: Okay.

24 MR. SIEGEL: And I think it's important to
25 understand the context. You know, he's associating in part of

Proceedings

25

1 the Indios clique. This murder was devastating for the Indios
2 clique. Three of their most active members were arrested
3 because they were caught on video participating in this
4 murder.

5 Over the course of 2019, the Indios clique is
6 falling apart. One member leaves and is green lit. One
7 member commits a shooting and is arrested, another member is
8 arrested.

9 THE COURT: How large is a clique or this clique,
10 approximately?

11 MR. SIEGEL: By the end of 2019, in terms of people
12 in New York, I think there were like three or four of them
13 left.

14 THE COURT: Okay.

15 MR. SIEGEL: And that's the point I'm getting at.
16 By the time he is drawing away, most of the clique is either
17 green lit to be murdered or in jail. So he is one of the last
18 few people left on the streets. And at that point, he is
19 pulling away from MS-13, but part of their beef is that -- or
20 part of their concern with him is that he's pulling away from
21 them, he's hanging out with other gangs. It's not that he's
22 just --

23 THE COURT: Other gangs, as in other cliques, or
24 other gangs --

25 MR. SIEGEL: The Surenos gang.

Proceedings

26

1 THE COURT: A completely different gang?

2 MR. SIEGEL: A completely different gang.

3 And, so, the concern is hanging out with other gangs
4 and that's part of what is rising tension. Eventually, he,
5 himself is green lit. And that happens sometime -- I don't
6 have the exact date that it happens. I don't know the exact
7 date it happens. But judging on the calls, it is sometime in
8 2020. He leaves New York and then is arrested.

9 Since his arrest, we've always been aware that he
10 was green lit. We have kept him separate from the gang for
11 that reason.

12 And I don't want to make the argument that he was
13 never pulling away from the gang, that he was as active in the
14 gang as other people were. But the suggestion that he made
15 this break and that he left the gang behind, I just don't
16 think it's exactly as it was presented in the defense
17 arguments.

18 THE COURT: Okay.

19 MR. SIEGEL: So I think if you consider the
20 unbelievable seriousness of this, the seriousness of the gang
21 that he remained a part of basically until the clique was
22 falling apart, but then balance that against the fact that he
23 did pull away from them toward the end and some people did
24 not.

25 THE COURT: But I'm trying to understand. You said

Proceedings

27

1 he pulled away from them but towards another gang.

2 MR. SIEGEL: Yes.

3 THE COURT: So I'm trying to understand, and Mr.
4 Diaz, you will have to answer that question for me. To the
5 extent that that is the case, I'm not certain how much I value
6 the notion of pulling away from the gang if it's just to be a
7 member of another gang.

8 MR. DIAZ: Judge, we've never heard this before.
9 I've never heard this fact ever. This is not in the
10 discovery. In all of our discussions and in all of the
11 letters that have gone back and forth, we have never heard
12 that during the period of time that Emerson was pulling away
13 from the gang that he was involved in another gang. We don't
14 have any information about that. There's nothing, certainly,
15 in the discovery. This is the first I'm ever hearing this,
16 Judge.

17 THE COURT: What's the basis for the Government's
18 assertion that he was moving towards this other gang?

19 MR. SIEGEL: The basis is based on -- the basis for
20 our understanding is that he had been green lit by the gang.

21 THE COURT: No, not green lit.

22 MR. SIEGEL: Well, but all of the discussions about
23 that is that he was green lit because he was associated with
24 the Surenos gang.

25 THE COURT: I see. So, forgive me. Let me let you

Proceedings

28

1 just answer the question.

2 What is the basis for your understanding? Are you
3 talking about telephone communications? What is it that you
4 are basing this on?

5 MR. SIEGEL: It is the witness statements about the
6 fact that he was someone who had been part of the Indios and
7 then was pulling away from them and hanging out with the
8 Surenos.

9 THE COURT: Were those statements provided to the
10 defense?

11 MR. SIEGEL: Witness statements are not provided.

12 THE COURT: I'm sorry. You're right. Forgive me.

13 MR. DIAZ: There are a lot of questions there,
14 right, Judge? What is the basis of this statement? Is it
15 hearsay? Did this witness hear it from someone else?

16 This is too attenuated, Judge, I think. And the
17 fact that we haven't had notice of this, I'm going to ask the
18 Court to disregard that fact.

19 MR. SIEGEL: Your Honor -- and, look, I'm presenting
20 it as something that I do think that is in some way mitigating
21 because other gangs aren't as bad as MS-13.

22 THE COURT: I'm only laughing because I don't know
23 if I consider it mitigating. That is an interesting notion,
24 but I don't view it as mitigating.

25 MR. SIEGEL: I think the point that I'm trying to

Proceedings

29

1 make is when you compare him to other defendants in this
2 indictment, in this case who have stayed with MS-13 to the
3 date of sentencing, I think that there is something less there
4 for him that I am saying I think the Court can consider. I'm
5 comparing this, for example, to the sentencing of Salmeron
6 that we had recently where I think one of the things that the
7 Court thought about is the fact that he was still so active
8 within the gang. And I just want to be clear that our
9 arguments --

10 THE COURT: You don't want me to consider it. The
11 Government is not offering it up in a -- or the way in which
12 the Court received the fact was unhelpful to the defendant is
13 not the way in which the Government would like for the Court
14 to apply that fact. You are suggesting that there is -- you
15 agree with the defense, that he was moving away from MS-13.
16 What he was doing on the other side of that is perhaps less
17 important --

18 MR. SIEGEL: That's correct.

19 THE COURT: -- at least in the Government's
20 estimation, because you were trying to make the point that he
21 was moving away from the violent activities of MS-13. Fair
22 enough?

23 MR. SIEGEL: Yes.

24 THE COURT: Okay. I'm not going to consider the
25 fact of moving to another gang. I don't think that's the way

Proceedings

30

1 in which the Government wanted me to consider it in any event,
2 and it will resolve at least the factual issue that I don't
3 think we need to get into right now.

4 MR. DIAZ: I appreciate that, Judge. Again, that
5 has a lot of weight, Judge, to come out with that statement
6 without having anything provided to us. But, again, I accept
7 the Court's ruling.

8 THE COURT: I mean, it is a tough thing, because the
9 actual statements themselves, the Government is right, are not
10 typically provided. But to understand that this was going to
11 be the assertion in advance of this proceeding, I understand
12 why you would want to have that information.

13 It's not going to bear on the Court's determination.

14 MR. SIEGEL: The larger point I want to make is, I
15 think the Court should just balance that yes, he pulled away,
16 but, first of all, it was a year after this murder. So I
17 think it is somewhat presented as he was intimidated into this
18 murder and then this murder happened and then he thought I got
19 to get out of here. That's not what happened.

20 THE COURT: It was indeed subsequent to the February
21 2019 murder that his involvement in the conspiracies or the
22 efforts with respect to John Does 1 and 2 transpired.

23 MR. SIEGEL: I think one of them began before
24 February 2019 and then continued past February 2019. The
25 other began after February 2019 and its whole course was after

1 February 2019.

2 So, his impetus for leaving the gang was not this
3 murder. So, I think balancing -- I think that's why we are
4 asking for the 20-year sentence. I think that does strike the
5 appropriate balance. If this were someone who did not have
6 the, at least, arguably mitigating factors, if you look at the
7 other people who are charged in this case and who have now
8 pleaded guilty, they are facing minimum of 30 years. So, we
9 are looking at this as someone who is less than people who
10 were on the platform, people who then continued with the gang
11 to the very end.

12 THE COURT: Defense, in their submission, spent
13 least a sufficient amount of time, I think, arguing that his
14 involvement in the gang pretty much all along, leading up to
15 February 2019, was effectively coerced through threats of
16 violence to Mr. Martinez-Lara himself.

17 Can you just respond to that argument, please?

18 MR. SIEGEL: I just don't have a basis to believe
19 that that's true.

20 People do leave MS-13. People move away, as he
21 ultimately did. The reality is if you stop hanging out with
22 them and you stop going to where they are, it's hard for them
23 -- especially if you are in at the low level. It doesn't make
24 sense to me. I haven't seen evidence of it. I haven't seen
25 an example like that, where someone wants nothing to do with

Proceedings

32

1 the gang and that is suddenly committing murders and then
2 staying with the gang.

3 THE COURT: Thank you.

4 I will want to have the video played, unfortunately,
5 and two -- that's the victim statement?

6 MR. SIEGEL: The victim statement.

7 THE COURT: Let me hear from Mr. Diaz first and then
8 I will hear the victim statement.

9 MR. DIAZ: Thank you.

10 Your Honor, first and foremost, Emerson is extremely
11 apologetic for his role in this offense. He is remorseful to
12 the Mosso family. He is deeply ashamed of himself for
13 participating in this.

14 As we stated earlier, Judge, Emerson was a young man
15 in his late teens when he immigrated into this country. He
16 struggled in school here but began working immediately and was
17 working and sending money back to his family, particularly his
18 mother who has diabetes.

19 Regrettably, Judge, Emerson began associating with
20 members of MS-13. However, Judge, during his time of
21 associating with these individuals, Emerson never held any
22 decisionmaking authority. He did not personally engage in
23 acts of violence towards others. And as the Government has
24 stated, Your Honor, he himself sustained -- well, I take that
25 back. He also sustained beatings from members of the gang.

Proceedings

33

1 Now, this is something, Judge, that our defense team
2 spoke to a lot of people in the course of this case.
3 Investigators interviewed people, like his girlfriend, but
4 when it came time, Judge, to write letters, a lot of these
5 people were not willing to do so. And the reason for that,
6 Judge, is a lot of -- they were afraid for immigration
7 purposes, Judge.

8 And even today, Wilmer informed me that other family
9 members wanted to come here today, but they're fearful to come
10 to court.

11 And also, when it was time to get letters, people
12 were very willing to speak to us, but they could not put
13 anything in paper because they also don't know how that can be
14 used subsequently in their home country. So, we do have a bit
15 of a void there, Judge, and I want to lead with that.

16 I do want to represent, Judge, that our investigator
17 spoke to Emerson's girlfriend. She corroborated that he had
18 sustained beatings from MS-13. And I don't think this is
19 anything controversial, Judge, as someone beaten by their own
20 gang. But I'm saying that in the context of that he, himself
21 was green lit, Judge. And the reason that he was green lit,
22 Your Honor, was because his minimal participation and his
23 level of enthusiasm for the gang never increased.

24 And I will address the timeline that the Government
25 did in a second here, Your Honor.

Proceedings

34

1 THE COURT: I just want to be clear. He was green
2 lit over a year, or at least a year after these events that
3 transpired. So, it's an unfortunate reality or circumstance
4 that, in fact, you know, he was involved with an organization
5 that would call for his own demise. But I am not certain how
6 that factors in events that transpired a year after the events
7 that bring us here today, or at least some of the events that
8 bring us here today, how that should really have bearing on
9 the way in which I view his conduct at that time, because at
10 that time they hadn't been green lit. At that time he was
11 simply operating as an associate of MS-13.

12 MR. DIAZ: Indeed, Judge. And I am going to address
13 that. I apologize if I'm not --

14 THE COURT: You don't have to apologize. I just
15 need you to understand my thinking.

16 MR. DIAZ: No, I understand, Judge. And I agreed
17 with the Government's timeline, when they said that the time
18 that he was pulling out kind of coincided when other members
19 were starting to go to prison. And I think that that's
20 exactly what happened, Judge, that once the people -- we're
21 not talking about a large group of people here, Judge. We are
22 talking about a small group. And the two or three people on
23 top have an outside influence over the other members. And as
24 long as those members are present, that's where the fear comes
25 in, that's where the coercion comes in, that's where the

Proceedings

35

1 intimidation comes in, because this person can hurt me.

2 And just taking what we are talking about here,
3 conspiracy to murder someone, this person -- you know, John
4 Doe is being green lit because he doesn't want to be in MS-13
5 anymore. So, now, all of the members get instructed that this
6 person is to be dealt with. So now you have a young person
7 who is 16 -- 18, 19 years old at the time, who's being
8 instructed of this, who's being told by the older members who
9 have this power, who have this influence and charismatic
10 personalities that you need to look for this person. And why
11 are we looking for this person? Because he doesn't want to be
12 in the gang anymore. So, to him, that's telling him if I do
13 the same thing, then these guys are going to come look for me.
14 It is self-preservation, Judge.

15 THE COURT: I absolutely understand that it is
16 self-preservation. However, it creates -- I don't deny that
17 there is a self-preservation aspect to this, right. But in
18 terms of the way in which the Court has to view the conduct,
19 am I sanction the notion that he can preserve his own life to
20 the demise of potentially three others, because there was one
21 gentleman who lost his life on a New York City subway
22 platform, which, by the way, I need you to understand I have
23 inserted that fact every single time I talk about this murder
24 because it matters.

25 MR. DIAZ: It does.

Proceedings

36

1 THE COURT: And then two other individuals, whom he
2 had agreed to be involved in the murder of. And, so, I am
3 struggling, and I struggled as I read your -- which I consider
4 to be a very well-written submission -- but I struggled when I
5 read it to try and discern what that fact should mean to the
6 Court, because, yes, it perhaps is self-preservation. This is
7 an organization by its very nature, right, is violent not just
8 to others but to its own members. It will cannibalize itself,
9 I guess, if necessary.

10 MR. DIAZ: Indeed, Judge.

11 THE COURT: But, nonetheless, the result is brutal
12 public murders of individuals. Am I to say well, that is
13 acceptable conduct because it is a matter self-preservation?

14 I think that might ultimately, right, taken to its
15 logical conclusion -- and the problems that are reflected by
16 this case, by the way, are far too more than this Court can
17 address in a sentencing. This is complicated stuff. But at
18 the end of the day, I have to say to myself does that
19 self-preservation -- how many other names get to be on the
20 list and you get to say, oh, it's self-preservation and hence
21 this person was murdered, and I participated in the planning
22 of this person's or involvement somehow in this other person's
23 murder.

24 When is self-preservation simply not sufficient for
25 the Court's consideration particularly when the conduct is not

Proceedings

37

1 just heinous but is brazen as what is reflected on that video?

2 MR. DIAZ: Indeed, Judge. And I'm not asking the
3 Court to discount it or to give it any weight that it's not
4 entitled to.

5 All I want is to try to give the Court a deeper
6 understanding of what happened here. And when assessing his
7 level of culpability and what an appropriate sentence should
8 be, Judge, I want the Court to consider the following, and
9 addressing the discovery itself, from the phone calls that we
10 cited, I think it was clear that by the end of 2019 Emerson
11 had started to pull away from the gang. He had moved away to
12 Maryland.

13 And here comes the Court's question: Well, why did
14 you continue? Why didn't you make that break?

15 And the way I understand it, Judge, is that even
16 when he moved to Maryland, there was a heavy MS-13 presence
17 there also, and I think that there's a lot of gang activity
18 there. And everywhere he went, he was in fear that he could
19 be touched, and they were trying to keep in contact with him.

20 THE COURT: Wait. Wait. I'm no expert in MS-13.
21 They were certainly a different MS-13 clique.

22 Do you have any information that you can provide the
23 Court to suggest there was some coordinated effort from this
24 five-person clique here or we're just talking about the fact
25 that, unfortunately, MS-13 has infiltrated every community in

Proceedings

38

1 the United States because that is just the unfortunate
2 reality, but it doesn't have any bearing on his specific
3 circumstances?

4 MR. DIAZ: Exactly, Judge. I'm asking the Court to
5 look at it subjectively from the way that he was looking at it
6 at the time. And I recognize, you know, that there's issues
7 with that, Judge.

8 THE COURT: Right, because I don't have any facts.
9 I don't have any interactions in Maryland, a threat in
10 Maryland. He's just in Maryland and MS-13 is there.

11 MR. DIAZ: But what I do want to say, Judge, is that
12 let's look at his actions. I don't think that anyone here can
13 say that Emerson was, you know, a proponent of the MS-13
14 banner. If you look at the discovery, if you look at the
15 calls, this was somebody who was trying to keep these people
16 at bay, but at the same time try not to go that step where he
17 himself --

18 THE COURT: I get that. I'm telling you that's a
19 year after he participated as a lookout in the brutal murder
20 of an individual on a subway platform in our city.

21 MR. DIAZ: Indeed, Judge. Indeed, Judge. And,
22 again, all I'm asking the Court is to consider the fact that
23 we laid out, his circumstances, the calls that he was
24 receiving, the threats that he got. He was green lit and I
25 think a lot is part of this, Judge. And, again, he allocuted

Proceedings

39

1 to this, Judge. He accepted responsibility for this. I don't
2 want, you know -- I don't want to get diverge here and say
3 that I'm trying to make an excuse for what happened. He
4 accepted responsibility, Judge. He did. And all I'm asking
5 the Court is to just consider these other things that were
6 going on in his life.

7 THE COURT: I get that. But you are asking me to
8 consider those, yes. But you are also advocating for a
9 10-year sentence which, even from the bottom end of the
10 guidelines range, is an incredibly significant departure. So
11 it is more than, Judge, consider these.

12 MR. DIAZ: I understand, Judge.

13 THE COURT: 10 years. The finality of death.
14 Unfortunately, the older we all get, the older we all get, the
15 more clear we are about how final death is. And it is awful
16 when it is a loved-one of advanced age. But it's different.
17 My 95-year-old grandmother passed away, God bless her. She
18 lived a full life. That's not what we are talking about. We
19 are talking about unnatural deaths of young people that will
20 never ever come back. Death is so final. And the consequence
21 of death visited obviously on the person who is deprived of
22 their life is bad, but the consequence of death on those who
23 are left behind, that is a prison sentence that is life. That
24 is life.

25 So I just think that we need to make sure, right,

Proceedings

40

1 while I am taking into consideration -- and I will -- all of
2 the factors that contributed to Mr. Martinez-Lara's kind of
3 participation in this event. It has to be. It must be in a
4 case like this against the backdrop of the seriousness of this
5 crime. The bell that can never be unrung and harm that could
6 never, ever be remedied.

7 MR. DIAZ: Indeed, Your Honor. And I just want to
8 read a small quote from his post-arrest statement, where, in
9 answering the police officer, Emerson states: Deep down, I
10 did not feel right about what they were doing. But you're
11 obligated with these people. You're always in fear that
12 someone is going to kill you.

13 So that same finality that the Court described, Your
14 Honor, was also a powerful motivator for him. And what he
15 did, he's not proud of it. He's ashamed. He pled guilty to
16 it.

17 But at the same time, I wouldn't be giving the Court
18 a full picture of what this young man was going through at the
19 time if I didn't let the Court know about that and tell you
20 what he said in these phone calls and what he said in the
21 statement.

22 And Emerson went on to tell the officer, and this is
23 a quote from his statement, when you refuse, they threaten to
24 kill you. And after I did that, I stopped coming around, I
25 only went to work and stayed in the house because I knew I had

Proceedings

41

1 been green lit.

2 THE COURT: But that was a year after this murder.

3 Obviously, the Court would look at it differently if
4 you said that refusal -- because at least implicit in his
5 statement was that there was a refusal. I don't think there
6 is evidence of an actual refusal. But if you had said he
7 refused and they threatened him and, therefore, he was there.
8 But that's not what we have.

9 MR. DIAZ: His brain wasn't fully developed yet,
10 Judge. That's what happened. And that's where the age comes
11 in. And that's where all these things come in, Judge.

12 THE COURT: I'm just saying, so we have a guideline
13 range, and I have been operating from the bottom of the
14 guidelines range in terms of my discussion thus far. I said,
15 you know, you're asking for a departure that is significant
16 from the bottom of the guidelines range. But I guess my
17 question is arriving at a sentence that is sufficient, but not
18 the greater than necessary, given the facts of this case. And
19 we are going to play that video next. Potentially the Court
20 can arrive at a sentence that is sufficient, but not the
21 greater than necessary. When you take into account all of the
22 factors, it still puts him in the guidelines range given the
23 nature of this crime and how it occurred, because I see facts
24 here, right, that say bottom of the guidelines range wouldn't
25 be the right sentence. Not only did this occur, but it

Proceedings

42

1 occurred on our subway platform.

2 You mentioned your children. Everybody's children
3 are on those platforms who want to get home safely to their
4 families every day. We're done. We're tired. And we're
5 scared. We're scared as a community. We're scared.

6 I want to play the video.

7 MR. SIEGEL: While we are setting it up, I just want
8 to provide the context of the video. As we lay out in our
9 memo, three members of the Indios clique, which are Ramiro
10 Gutierrez, Tito Martinez-Alvarenga, and Victor Lopez. They
11 followed Abel Mosso onto the subway at Flushing. Two of them
12 got into the same car with him. And as the train was arriving
13 at the 90th Street station, he was assaulted by Mr.
14 Martinez-Alvarenga and Mr. Lopez, who had a gun. That
15 fight -- the train got to the platform and was stopped. The
16 conductor stopped the train because of the disturbance. There
17 was a struggle over the gun. It spilled out on to the
18 platform. They were joined by Mr. Gutierrez and that's where
19 the video begins. As you'll see, they're struggling over the
20 gun. People are trying to help. Mr. Martinez-Alvarenga is
21 keeping people at bay. One of them does yell out we are going
22 to kill him. We are MS-13. Nobody get involved.

23 And then once Mr. Gutierrez gets back control over
24 the gun, he shoots Mr. Mosso I think it is either five or
25 seven times in the head.

Proceedings

43

1 THE COURT: It is on my screen.

2 (Video playing.) (Video stopped.)

3 THE COURT: All right. You can take that down.

4 Yes. You can proceed.

5 MR. SIEGEL: At this point, I would like to read a
6 letter provided by Abel Mosso's mother.

7 Honorable, Judge, I write to you from a heart full
8 of pain as Abel Mosso's mother. My dear son died on February
9 3rd, 2019.

10 His death left a permanent and painful mark on our
11 family, and I feel that it is important that I share the
12 emotional impact that his death has had on my children and me
13 as his mother. Able's death was a devastating blow for our
14 family, especially for his brother Misael and his younger
15 sister Jacqueline. Misael, who was very close to Able, has
16 had great difficulty in accepting his lose. He would cry at
17 night unable to accept that his younger brother was no longer
18 with us. This pain led him to a deep depression. And in an
19 attempt to cope with it, he started drinking excessively. His
20 inability to sleep and constant pain led him to making wrong
21 decisions, and he is now suffering the consequences of those
22 actions.

23 My daughter Jacqueline was also deeply affected.
24 She would cry every night and the nightmares she had about her
25 brother caused her to suffer great emotional distress.

Proceedings

44

1 Jacqueline received therapy in school to try to cope with it,
2 but the pain lingers.

3 I share these painful details because my son Able
4 was murdered in a violent and sudden way. I have still not
5 overcome the pain. Unfortunately, when my son's life was
6 taken, I was now allowed to see him. This fact has been a
7 very heavy emotional burden for me, and it is still one of the
8 greatest tragedies that I have faced. I recognize that Misael
9 made a bad decision coming from a place of great emotional
10 anguish that has not received proper care.

11 I thank you for taking the time to consider this.
12 We hope the Court may consider the profound emotional impact
13 that our family has experienced and continues to face in our
14 healing process. That is why I ask for justice for my son's
15 death because he did not deserve to die that way.

16 It is signed by his mother.

17 THE COURT: Can I have it? Thank you.

18 Folks, the other three individuals involved in this
19 particular crime, and unfortunately, there are just so many
20 individuals in these cases, remind me of the status of those
21 three individuals' cases.

22 MR. SIEGEL: So, there was three who I mentioned and
23 there's a fourth who was an additional lookout. Those were
24 going to be the fourth defendants at the April trial. They
25 have now all pleaded guilty. Mr. Lopez pleaded guilty to a

Proceedings

45

1 Rule (c)(1)(C) plea with a floor of 30 years and a maximum of
2 life.

3 Mr. Gutierrez and Mr. Martinez-Alvarenga pled guilty
4 to (c)(1)(C) pleas with a floor of 40 years and a ceiling of
5 life. Both of them also pleaded guilty to a second murder.

6 THE COURT: Okay. So the two gentlemen that have
7 the 40 to life plea agreement, there are two murders that they
8 are responsible for?

9 MR. SIEGEL: That's correct.

10 THE COURT: So that's three. And then there is the
11 additional lookout.

12 MR. SIEGEL: Mr. Santos-Novoa, who was another
13 lookout, he pleaded guilty to charges carrying a range between
14 zero and 25 years.

15 THE COURT: And obviously, look, we know that when
16 it comes to looking at disparities, the Court is to look
17 nationally. But it is my practice to also look at the
18 participants in this case. And here, at a minimum, each of
19 the other participants who, no question, had greater
20 involvement than the defendant present before me, if we take
21 it from the 30 to life, because there is only one that was the
22 one murder here, is at least exposed to, at a minimum, 10
23 years greater than Mr. Martinez-Lara on the bottom of the
24 guidelines range. Did I get that right?

25 MR. SIEGEL: The bottom is 235. So that's five

Proceedings

46

1 months more than 10 years.

2 THE COURT: Roughly. All right. Mr. Diaz.

3 MR. DIAZ: Yes, Judge. I just want to make two
4 final points, Judge, and the first one the Court has already
5 alluded to, that this is horrible, Judge. What happened is
6 horrible. There's nothing I can say at all here, Judge, with
7 respect to that, except that, you know, we are asking the
8 Court to consider his level of culpability. He did not
9 physically assault Mr. Mosso. He was not on the platform.
10 He did not participate in how they got the gun.

11 THE COURT: But the guidelines range, doesn't it
12 account for all of those things?

13 MR. DIAZ: The guidelines range is high, Judge. The
14 guidelines range --

15 THE COURT: But somebody died.

16 MR. DIAZ: I know, Judge.

17 THE COURT: It's high because someone is dead.

18 MR. DIAZ: Yes.

19 THE COURT: It is high because that individual is
20 never coming back again.

21 It is high because that mother had to bury her son.

22 Look, when I have a problem with the guidelines
23 range, I don't have a problem saying it. I don't have a
24 problem saying it.

25 It's high for a reason in this particular case.

Proceedings

47

1 Sometimes it's high and the policy reasons, you can't square
2 it. And I do everything in my ability, when I have discretion
3 to do so, to adjust it consistent with the way in which I
4 believe it is appropriate and consistent with the rule of law.
5 Yes, it's high. It should be high.

6 Okay. Go ahead.

7 MR. DIAZ: No, no, Judge, and again, I don't want to
8 belabor this, Judge. All I'm trying to do is just make a
9 distinction between, you know, the individuals involved here
10 and --

11 THE COURT: But to my point, the fact that his
12 involvement was less than, isn't that not reflected in the
13 fact that his guidelines range, right, here is at least, in
14 some respects, lower. I mean, he's not facing a life sentence
15 or a potential life sentence. He's not facing a floor of 30
16 years as a floor.

17 MR. DIAZ: Right. He could still face life.

18 THE COURT: He could.

19 MR. DIAZ: But you're right, Judge. Everything you
20 said is true, Your Honor.

21 And the other thing I want the Court to consider
22 is --

23 THE COURT: I'm not sentencing him to life. He is
24 not facing life. So, go ahead.

25 MR. DIAZ: Thank you, Judge.

Proceedings

48

1 But the other thing I also wanted to bring to the
2 Court's attention, Judge, is the collateral consequences of
3 this, that even after he faces whatever time the Court feels
4 is appropriate here, that he still faces indefinite
5 imprisonment upon his return to El Salvador.

6 THE COURT: The mass prison? The El Salvadorian
7 prison? The mega prison that was built --

8 MR. DIAZ: That's where they're putting them, Judge.
9 But I think the part that is more disturbing and relevant for
10 our analysis is that they've suspended, you know, certain due
11 process rights. And a person can be held indefinitely at that
12 prison on the mere allegation that you are a member of a gang,
13 of any gang there. Here, you're going to have Emerson being
14 returned there with a conviction for admitting to being an
15 MS-13 member.

16 THE COURT: The concern here that you are
17 articulating now is the collateral consequence that once
18 released from a U.S. prison he will be sent to El Salvador,
19 and as part of the criminal system there, that he would, just
20 on mere suspicion of participation, and I suspect they would
21 have more than mere suspicion of gang involvement, that he
22 would then be placed in the El Salvadorian prison, which I am
23 assuming you are asserting, and I've obviously read up on it
24 myself, is a place where no one wants to be.

25 MR. DIAZ: Especially when you are green-lighted.

Proceedings

49

1 THE COURT: How does having him serve less time in a
2 U.S. prison help him there?

3 It doesn't help. I'm just saying in terms of my
4 calculation, in terms of your argument saying, Judge, this is
5 a reason to sentence him to less time -- I mean, I don't know.
6 He is safer, potentially, in a U.S. prison segregated than
7 released in an El Salvador prison, based on your argument.

8 MR. DIAZ: Indeed, Judge. And I think that argument
9 goes more towards future dangerousness and protecting the
10 community, that if there was any concern by the Court, that,
11 you know, giving him a lesser sentence, that he's going to go
12 out there, I'm just letting the Court know that he does face
13 additional incarceration and additional penalties as a result
14 of this even after he serves his sentence.

15 THE COURT: Okay. Anything else from the
16 Government?

17 MR. SIEGEL: No. Thank you, Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: Does Mr. Martinez-Lara want to address
19 the Court?

20 MR. DIAZ: Yes, Judge, if the Court will permit it.

21 THE COURT: I will.

22 He can stand at the podium.

23 You can take your time.

24 THE defendant: When I think about Mr. Abel Mosso's
25 family, I feel sad and remorseful. I want to ask his family

Proceedings

50

1 for forgiveness. I will never let anything like this happen
2 again. I will never get involved in anything like this again.
3 I wish someday I can find a job so I can help my family, to
4 continue helping my mother, so she can get her medication.
5 I'm very sorry.

6 Thank you.

7 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Martinez-Lara.

8 Does Probation want to offer anything as part of
9 this discussion?

10 THE PROBATION OFFICER: No, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: All right. Just give me a second. I
12 want to make sure that I have asked everything I need to ask
13 of the parties. Do I have information -- I think I do.
14 Beyond the issue with discovery, can you remind me of the
15 information that I have with respect to Mr. Martinez-Lara's
16 conduct in prison, please?

17 And I'm well aware of -- I think -- the discovery
18 issue, but go ahead.

19 MR. SIEGEL: There is the additional issue of him
20 blocking view for his cellmate when he was taking a piece of
21 the fence to make a shank.

22 THE COURT: When did that occur?

23 MR. SIEGEL: Just one moment.

24 THE COURT: That's in your supplement, right?

25 MR. SIEGEL: That's in the supplement, yes.

Proceedings

51

1 That was on February 29, 2024.

2 THE COURT: And with respect to the discovery, the
3 Government is not asserting that he used information that was
4 provided in the discovery toward some improper end but rather
5 he used the devices that were available to him so that he
6 could -- I mean, that's really what we're coming down to?

7 MR. SIEGEL: Here's what we have. I will just
8 recite the facts. As Your Honor knows, there was a motion for
9 him to get extended access to his discovery drive.

10 THE COURT: Which I granted.

11 MR. SIEGEL: There was quite a bit of litigation
12 about that, and then there was the jail, in response, noted
13 that when they were giving him access to the law library, that
14 he was refusing to go, that he only wanted to review the
15 discovery in his cell. What eventually happened with the
16 discovery drive is that he was caught handing off a computer
17 and the drive to another inmate. That other inmate took it
18 into their cell, covered the window, and then when the guards
19 went in --

20 THE COURT: Right. But I'm trying to understand
21 when you say the discovery drive, were other individuals
22 reviewing the discovery in this case or using the device or
23 whatever you gave them to look at porn?

24 MR. SIEGEL: So, there was porn on the drive.
25 Whether that is porn that he was able to put on the drive

Proceedings

52

1 through some conduct in the jail or whether, as the defense
2 has asserted, that on one of the Cellebrite extractions that
3 was provided there was already porn because someone had porn
4 on their phone.

5 THE COURT: Other than trying to look at porn -- I'm
6 just trying to find out if this conduct was about this
7 25-year-old man trying to look at porn in prison.

8 MR. SIEGEL: That's what it's about.

9 THE COURT: Okay. That's all I needed.

10 I am going to take a break.

11 MR. SIEGEL: Thank you.

12 MR. DIAZ: Thank you.

13 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise.

14 (Recess taken.)

15 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise.

16 THE COURT: Folks, I have an unfortunate update
17 given where we are in the juncture of this sentencing. I
18 don't have an interpreter available. I can't proceed without
19 one.

20 She's back. All is okay with you. Then we can
21 proceed.

22 You all can be seated.

23 All right. Folks, before I proceed, I do want to
24 note that I had an opportunity to read the statement by Mr.
25 Mosso's mom during the recess. And I just need to state how

1 incredibly impressed I am by this woman. In the face of the
2 tremendous loss of her son, she could, as many might be, be
3 bloodthirsty and ask this Court to levy the greatest penalty
4 available under the law, but I note that she closes her letter
5 by asking simply for justice for her son. I hope that the
6 sentence that I render today will seem, in her estimation,
7 just.

8 I thank Mr. Martinez-Lara for his statement to this
9 Court prior to the recess. I will say that I do believe his
10 words of remorse are genuine. I have had a number of
11 individual before me, in particular, this week, and I can't
12 say the same is true of all those who have appeared before me,
13 even in crimes as serious as this.

14 That said, the Court needs to make a determination
15 here based on all of the facts available to me in this case.

16 In assessing the 3553(a) factors, I note the first
17 factor the Court is to look to is the seriousness of the
18 crime. That is no more important than in cases of this sort
19 where the crime itself resulted in the loss of someone else's
20 life, and indeed, in this case, where the loss of someone's
21 life was the intent and the purpose of the crime itself.

22 It is not altogether clear to me from the facts that
23 I have in front of me how it is that this defendant came to
24 join the MS-13 gang. I don't see the evidence in the record
25 that suggests at the time that he joined this gang that it was

Proceedings

54

1 the result of specific threats of coercion. It is the nature
2 of this gang, of course, to engage in violence and they rule
3 their own members through violence. That is true. But I
4 don't see evidence here that this particular defendant at the
5 time that he joined this gang and then continued in the gang
6 that he did so under any specific threat.

7 That is not to say that Mr. Diaz's arguments
8 concerning Mr. Martinez-Lara's age is lost on me. It is a
9 factor for this Court to consider. It cannot be ignored. He
10 was just 19 years old at the time that the crime was
11 committed. He had the mind of a 19-year-old at the time that
12 the crime was committed.

13 The Government itself concedes that that's a factor
14 that must be considered. And I will.

15 I do agree, however, with the Government that the
16 way in which I can consider his age must be nuanced. It must
17 take into consideration not just the simple number in terms of
18 his age. He was 19 years old, but it also has to be
19 considered against the crime itself. It was a deliberate,
20 thoughtful, premeditated action, which is less the sort of an
21 impulsive crime that yes, I think the Government is correct,
22 that we associate with youthful, for lack of a better phrase,
23 indiscretion. And, so, while I will consider it, it is
24 complicated, as this case is generally.

25 The guidelines range here is 235 to 293 months. And

1 as a general matter, for an individual who has a zero criminal
2 history, the Court starts at the bottom end of the guidelines
3 range. It makes sense to me. However, I noted that in this
4 particular case it is an incredibly, incredibly significant
5 factor that this crime took place on a New York City subway
6 platform. It was intended to take place on a New York City
7 subway platform. And on that platform seven shots were fired.
8 Countless, countless individuals, innocent people were on that
9 subway platform. It seems to me it's with the grace of God
10 that no one else, other than the poor soul who died here
11 today, was injured. The conduct of this gang, these
12 individuals on that day jeopardized the safety of all of us.
13 And that is not lost on me.

14 So while my starting point would be 235 months as a
15 general matter, I believe the fact that this took place on a
16 New York City subway platform, that it was intended to take
17 place on a New York City subway platform, is a reason why this
18 Court believes, as a general matter, 235 months would be
19 insufficient. That is counterbalanced, of course, by the
20 considerations that I spoke to concerning Mr. Martinez-Lara's
21 age.

22 So I will not sentenced Mr. Martinez-Lara to the
23 highest end of this guidelines range, which certainly could be
24 justified based on these facts.

25 I have no concerns about potential disparity in

Proceedings

56

1 sentencing here. We have talked about the other individuals
2 involved and the types of sentences that they might be
3 subjected to. But in this case I believe, taking all of the
4 considerations that the Court must, and I have, I promise you,
5 considered every argument that the parties have made, but I
6 believe that a sentence in this case of 216 months in custody
7 is sufficient, but not the greater than necessary, to comport
8 with the aims of sentencing.

9 MR. DIAZ: 16, Judge?

10 THE COURT: 216.

11 Give me a second, folks.

12 All right. I must now also consider whether to
13 impose a term of supervised release. I am required to
14 consider the factors set out in 18 U.S.C. Section 3583(c),
15 including the nature and circumstances of the offense, the
16 history and characteristics of the defendant, the need to
17 afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct, the need to
18 protect the public from further crime of the defendant, the
19 need to provide the defendant with needed educational or
20 vocational training, medical care, correctional treatment in
21 the most effective manner, the need to avoid unwarranted
22 sentence disparities among defendants with similar records who
23 have been found guilty of similar conduct, the need to provide
24 restitution to any victims of the offense, the kinds of
25 sentences, and the sentencing range established for the

Proceedings

57

1 offense, and any pertinent policy statement.

2 Does the Government wish to make any arguments
3 concerning these factors?

4 MR. SIEGEL: No. Thank you, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: Mr. Diaz?

6 MR. DIAZ: No, Your Honor.

7 THE COURT: Probation Department has recommended a
8 term of three years of supervised release. I believe that is
9 a prudent recommendation. I intend to follow that. The Court
10 imposes a term of three years of supervised release.

11 I need to apprise Mr. Martinez-Lara's obligations
12 with respect to supervised release.

13 Sir, if you violate any of the conditions of your
14 supervised release, I may sentence you up to two years in
15 prison without credit for your pre-release imprisonment or
16 time previously served on post-release supervision.

17 I'm sorry. I need to take a step back because I
18 failed to mention that, quite candidly, part of Court's
19 calculus in terms of an appropriate of sentence here is the
20 information that I have concerning Mr. Martinez-Lara's conduct
21 subsequent to the events that transpired in February of 2019,
22 specifically with respect to the John Doe 1 and John Doe 2. I
23 just need to say that it informed or helped to inform the
24 Court's determination as to an appropriate sentence.

25 Moving along.

Proceedings

58

1 Mr. Martinez-Lara, during your period of supervised
2 release, you must abide by the following mandatory conditions
3 of supervised release: You must not commit another federal,
4 state or local crime.

5 You must not unlawfully possess a controlled
6 substance. You must refrain from any unlawful use of a
7 controlled substance.

8 You must submit to one drug test within 15 days of
9 release from imprisonment and at least two periodic drug tests
10 thereafter as determined by the Court.

11 You must cooperate in the collection of DNA as
12 directed by the probation officer.

13 In addition, you must abide by the following
14 standard conditions of supervised release: You shall report
15 to the probation office in the federal judicial district where
16 you are authorized to reside within 72 hours of release from
17 imprisonment unless the probation officer instructs you to
18 report to a different probation office or within a different
19 timeframe. After initially reporting to the probation office,
20 you will receive instructions from the Court or the probation
21 officer about how and when to report to the probation officer
22 and you shall report to the probation officer as instructed.

23 You shall not knowingly leave the federal judicial
24 district where you are authorized to reside without first
25 getting permission from the Court or the probation officer.

Proceedings

59

1 You shall answer truthfully the questions asked of
2 you by the probation officer.

3 You shall live at a place approved by the probation
4 officer. And if your plans change with respect to where you
5 live or anything about your living arrangements, including the
6 people you live with, you shall notify the probation officer
7 at least 10 days before the change.

8 If notifying the probation officer at least 10 days
9 in advance is not possible due to unanticipated circumstances,
10 you shall notify the probation officer within 72 hours of
11 becoming aware of the change or expected change.

12 You shall allow the probation officer to visit you
13 at any time at your home or elsewhere. And you shall permit
14 the probation officer to take any items prohibited by the
15 conditions of your supervision that the probation officer
16 observes in plain view.

17 You shall work full-time, that is at least 30 hours
18 per week at a lawful type of employment unless the probation
19 officer excuses you from doing so.

20 If you do not have full-time employment, you shall
21 try and find full-time employment unless the probation officer
22 excuses you from doing so.

23 If your plans change with respect to where you work
24 or anything about your work, such as your position or job
25 responsibilities, you shall notify the probation officer at

Proceedings

60

1 least 10 days before the change. If notifying the officer in
2 advance is not possible due to unanticipated circumstances,
3 you shall notify the officer within 72 hours of becoming aware
4 of the change or expected change.

5 You shall not communicate or interact with someone
6 you know who is engaged in criminal activity. If you know
7 someone who has been convicted of a felony, you shall not
8 knowingly communicate or interact with that person without
9 first getting permission of the probation officer.

10 If you are arrested or questioned by a law
11 enforcement officer, you shall notify the probation officer
12 within 72 hours.

13 You shall not own, possess, or have access to a
14 firearm, ammunition, destructive device, or dangerous weapon,
15 with anything that was designed or was modified for the
16 specific purpose of causing bodily injury or death to another
17 person, like a TASER.

18 You shall not make any agreement with a law
19 enforcement agency to act as a confidential human source or
20 informant without first getting permission of the Court.

21 If the probation officer determines, based on your
22 criminal record, personal history and characteristics, as well
23 as the nature and circumstances of your offense, that you pose
24 a risk to another person, including an organization, the
25 probation officer, with prior approval of the Court, may

Proceedings

61

1 require you to notify the person about the risk and you must
2 comply with that instruction.

3 The probation officer may contact the person and
4 confirm that you have notified them of the risk. And you
5 shall follow the instructions of the probation officer related
6 to the conditions of your supervision.

7 Now, in advance of this proceeding, I believe that
8 you all received and reviewed the Court's proposed special
9 conditions of supervised release. Yes?

10 MR. DIAZ: Yes, Your Honor. My client actually just
11 signed it and it is signed by all of the parties.

12 THE COURT: Great. If you could hand that up.

13 Folks, I have in front of me what is marked Court
14 Exhibit 1 and is entitled special conditions of supervised
15 release, which delineates three special conditions the Court
16 seeks to impose. It's been executed by both the defendant,
17 defense counsel, and the Government.

18 Do you each waive the Court's reading of these
19 special conditions into the record?

20 MR. SIEGEL: Yes, Your Honor.

21 MR. DIAZ: Yes, Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: All right.

23 Mr. Diaz, is the Court's reasons for imposing each
24 of these special conditions apparent on the face of each
25 condition?

Proceedings

62

1 MR. DIAZ: Yes, Judge.

2 THE COURT: All right. I must order that Mr.
3 Martinez-Lara pay a special assessment in the amount of \$100.
4 I decline, however, to impose a fine, as it does not appear
5 that Mr. Martinez-Lara is able to pay one.

6 Now, Mr. Martinez-Lara, you have a statutory right
7 to appeal your sentence under certain circumstances,
8 particularly, if you believe your sentence was contrary to
9 law.

10 Any Notice of Appeal must be filed within 14 days of
11 the entry of judgment or within 14 days of the filing of
12 Notice of Appeal by the Government.

13 If requested, the clerk will prepare and file a
14 Notice of Appeal on your behalf. And if you cannot afford to
15 pay the cost of appeal or for appellate counsel, you have the
16 right to apply for leave to appeal in forma pauperis, which
17 means you can apply to have the Court waive the filing fee.

18 On appeal, you may also apply for court-appointed
19 counsel.

20 Give me a second, folks.

21 Now, I understand that with respect to where Mr.
22 Martinez-Lara might be housed is obviously complicated by his
23 circumstance. What recommendation, if any, would you like me
24 to make here?

25 MR. DIAZ: Yes, Judge, and understanding that it's a

Proceedings

63

1 recommendation and the Court only has so much power over BOP.
2 We have identified several facilities, Judge, that we believe
3 are what are called dropout yards, and those are facilities
4 for ex-gang members, cooperators, people who are looking to be
5 separated from gang inmates. Those facilities are FCI Thomson
6 in Illinois, USP Terre Haute in Indiana, USP Tucson in
7 Arizona, USP Allenwood in Pennsylvania.

8 THE COURT: I'm sorry. The Arizona facility?

9 MR. DIAZ: USP Tucson.

10 And, finally, Your Honor, FCI Coleman in Florida.

11 THE COURT: All right. The Court will recommend
12 that Mr. Martinez-Lara will be housed at any of these four
13 facilities: Thompson, Terre Haute, Tucson -- and where in
14 Florida?

15 MR. DIAZ: Coleman.

16 THE COURT: And FCI Coleman.

17 Does the Government have any motions it would like
18 to make at this time?

19 MR. SIEGEL: Yes, Your Honor. We move dismiss the
20 open counts of the second superseding indictment, which I
21 believe are Counts One, Two, Five, Sixteen, Seventeen,
22 Eighteen, Twenty, and Twenty-Two.

23 THE COURT: Okay. Yes.

24 MR. SIEGEL: If I have missed any, it's intended to
25 be everything.

Proceedings

64

1 THE COURT: You didn't, at least by my count.

2 MR. SIEGEL: Also, the underlying indictments, the
3 original indictment and the first superseding indictment.

4 THE COURT: All right. Counts One, Two, Five,
5 Sixteen, Seventeen, Eighteen, Twenty, and Twenty-Two of the
6 second superseding indictment as they pertain to Mr.
7 Martinez-Lara are dismissed, as well as the underlying
8 indictment as it pertains to Mr. Martinez-Lara.

9 Anything else?

10 MR. DIAZ: Yes, Judge. If the Court can direct that
11 any travel documents or personal property belonging to Mr.
12 Martinez-Lara be released by the Government.

13 THE COURT: Yes.

14 MR. DIAZ: Thank you, Your Honor.

15 THE COURT: There should be no objection to that,
16 right?

17 MR. SIEGEL: We have no objection. I don't know at
18 this point if we have them or Pretrial has them.

19 THE COURT: Whoever has it --

20 MR. SIEGEL: But whoever has it, we will coordinate
21 that.

22 THE COURT: Anything else?

23 MR. DIAZ: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

24 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Martinez-Lara, sir, I
25 know this is a difficult day for you. As I said, I appreciate

Proceedings

65

1 that your statements of remorse are genuine. I do wish you
2 well. And I do hope that once you have had an opportunity to
3 serve your sentence that there will be further opportunity of
4 growth for you and that you have a future that is untethered
5 to the violence that has unfortunately marred your life so
6 far. And I do wish you luck, sir.

7 Thank you.

8 MR. DIAZ: Thank you, Judge.

9 THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.

10 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise.

11 (Matter adjourned.)

12 * * * * *

13 I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the
14 record of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

15 /s/ Michele Lucchese

February 12, 2025

16 Michele Lucchese

DATE

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25